Transaction Cascades

or how to build a transactional microservice architecture

Harald Wendel TransferWise

About me

- Engineering Lead @ TransferWise
- 15 years of Java
- Spent the last 10 years building trading and risk

management systems

What you'll learn today is

How to build a transactional microservice architecture that scales

and a little bit about transactions and KAFKA :-)

You should listen to this if

- You're stuck with this monolith that dies under the load
- You're interested in building asynchronous systems
- You just want to hear what we are doing with KAFKA
- You don't like the term 'Enterprise" :-)

- Quick Recap: Transactions
- What problem are we trying to solve?
- Quick Recap: KAFKA
- Solution
- Performance
- Alternatives
- Q&A

Quick Recap: Transactions

- What problem are we trying to solve?
- Quick Recap: KAFKA
- Solution
- Performance
- Alternatives
- Q&A

What is a transaction (in computer science)?

- An atomic unit of work
- Must either complete entirely or not at all
- Moves a system from one valid state to another
- Can be distributed or local
- ACID properties

ACID

- Atomicity
- Consistency
- Isolation
- Durability

Atomicity

- Transactions are either completed entirely or not at all
- If one part fails then the whole transaction fails

Consistency

• Transactions move a system from one valid state to

another

Isolation

• Concurrent transactions leave the system in a state as if

they were serialized

Durability

• Changes are stored permanently

Distributed Transactions

- Involves multiple network hosts
- Common implementations use 2-Phase-Commit (2PC) to

guarantee ACID properties

• 2PC requires a transaction coordinator

Distributed Transactions - Java

• Java Transaction API (JTA) to implement transactional

resources

- EJB containers provide JTA support out-of-the-box
- Standalone transaction manager (Atomikos, Bitronix, etc)

• Quick Recap: Transactions

• What problem are we trying to solve?

- Quick Recap: KAFKA
- Solution
- Performance
- Alternatives
- Q&A



The Monolith

class PaymentProcessor {

@Transactional // local database transaction
void processPayment(Payment payment) {

payoutToRecipient(payment);

```
notifyCustomer(payment);
```



Multiple services and databases



Adding Microservice Calls - Happy Flow

class PaymentProcessor {

@Transactional // now what does that mean?
void processPayment(Payment payment) {

payoutToRecipient(payment);

```
emailClient.notifyCustomer(payment);
```

Multiple Services and Databases



Adding Microservice Calls - Unhappy Flow

class PaymentProcessor {

```
@Transactional // still just a local transaction
void processPayment(Payment payment) {
```

payoutToRecipient(payment); emailClient.notifyCustomer(payment);

transactionManager.onRollback(() -> {
 emailClient.unnotifyCustomer(payment); ?????
});

Async Processing

class PaymentProcessor {

@Transactional(transactionManager = "jta")
void processPayment(Payment payment) {

payoutToRecipient(payment);

sendToJmsBroker(new CustomerNotification(...));

Multiple Services and Databases + JMS Broker





- Quick Recap: Transactions
- What problem are we trying to solve?

• Quick Recap: KAFKA

- Solution
- Performance
- Alternatives
- Q&A



Why KAFKA?

- High availability
- High throughput
- (Eventually) persistent

KAFKA - Topics and Logs



KAFKA - Producer / Consumer



KAFKA - Nodes and Consumer Groups



KAFKA - Design Notes

- At least once delivery
- Uses pagecache instead of heap (by default no fsync)
- Uses linear reads and writes for throughput (X00MB/sec)

Our Cluster

- 5 Nodes
- 4 vCPUs, 16G Memory, 500G sdd, 1G NIC per node
- ISR = 2, replication factor = 3
- Runs on virtualized hardware
- 3 zookeepers

- Quick Recap: Transactions
- What problem are we trying to solve?
- Quick Recap: KAFKA

• Solution

- Performance
- Alternatives
- Q&A



Solution - Overview

- Split transactions into small and fast blocks
- Use only local transactions
- Store and forward notifications to the next service
- Use KAFKA for high throughput

Store Message

Transaction	
Insert	
Insert	
Update	DB
Delete	
Insert CustomerNotification	
Commit	

Payment Processor

class PaymentProcessor {

@Transactional // local database transaction
void processPayment(Payment payment) {

payoutToRecipient(payment);

saveToDatabase(new CustomerNotification(...));

Message Implementation - 1/2

abstract class Message {

private String uuid = UUID.randomUUID().toString();

abstract String getDestination();

Message Implementation - 2/2

class CustomerNotification extends Message {

```
String getDestination() {
    return "topic.CustomerNotification";
}
```

Message table

create table message (

destination varchar(255) not null,

payload text not null // json or any other format

Poll Message and Send



```
Sending Messages - 1/2
```

class MessageSender implements Runnable {

```
void run() {
    while (running) {
        Message message = pollFromDatabase();
        sendToKafka(message);
    }
```

```
Sending Messages - 2/2
```

sendToKafka(Message message) {

```
String topic = message.getDestination();
String value = serialize(message);
```

```
producer.send(new ProducerRecord(topic,value),
    (...) -> removeFromDatabase(message)
);
```

Consume and De-duplicate



Consuming Messages - 1/3

```
class MessageProcessor implements Runnable {
   void run() {
      while (true) {
         for (ConsumerRecord r : consumer.poll(...)) {
            Message message = parse(r);
            processMessage(message);
         consumer.commitAsync();
```

Consuming Messages - 2/3

@Transactional // local database transaction
void processMessage(Message message) {
 if (!isDuplicate(message)) {

Consuming Messages - 3/3

```
boolean isDuplicate(Message message) {
   try {
     saveMessageUuidToDatabase(message.getUuid());
     return false;
```

```
} catch (DuplicateKeyException e) {
   return true;
```

Multiple Services and Databases + KAFKA



- Quick Recap: Transactions
- What problem are we trying to solve?
- Quick Recap: KAFKA
- Solution
- Performance
- Alternatives
- Q&A



Which components are we monitoring?

- Message loader
- Message sender
- Message consumer (incl. dedup)
- End-to-End

Message Loader

- Avg batch size: 20 (max 500)
- 500ms sleep if no new messages available
- Average 90 ms/batch
- MySQL 5.7 innodb

Message Loader - Count vs Batch Size

Count vs. Batch Size



Batch Size

Message Loader - Latency vs Batch Size

Latency vs. Batch Size



Batch Size

Message Sender

- 4 publisher threads
- Throughput is up to 700 msg/sec on a busy day

Message Sender - Count vs Latency

Count vs. Latency



Latency

Message Consumer

- One thread per partition
- ~ 350 msg/sec per partition
- Dedup time: 3 ms/msg using MySQL
- Fast dedup is key to high throughput

End-to-end

- We care more about throughput than latency
- We don't have millisecond latency data :-(
- But we measure it in seconds!
- On average our latency is < 1 sec

- Quick Recap: Transactions
- What problem are we trying to solve?
- Quick Recap: KAFKA
- Solution
- Performance
- Alternatives
- Q&A

Alternative Solutions

• Using a traditional JMS broker with transaction support

(Artemis, ActiveMQ, TIBCO, etc.)

- JBoss REST-AT (still a draft, supported by WildFly)
- Try to write your own XA stuff?

Modify our solution to your liking!

- Choose a different broker or messaging platform
- Choose a different database
- Replace the broker with direct service calls
- Add commit hooks for low latency

Thank You!

Harald Wendel

harald.wendel@transferwise.com